

with the policy choice it made, to override the veto so we can implement this new system if possible. I ask your support for this veto override. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Senator Abboud. The speaking order is this, Senator Pappas, Senator Barrett, Senator Withem, Senator Schmit, Senator DeCamp, and Rod Johnson. Senator Abboud.

SENATOR ABOUD: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I rise in opposition to the motion of Senator Hoagland. This is kind of an interesting bill. I think as the bill moved across the floor it picked up no votes rather than yes votes. It is one of the bills that I think is finally stated as one of Senator Hoagland's Christmas tree bills. I don't know if you saw the handout that Senator Hoagland handed out. It basically deals with three different areas. One deals with the judicial resources commission. A second area dealt with the appointment of judges in the place of juvenile court judges, and a third dealt with the temporary restraining orders. Now, Senator Hoagland picked me up as a no vote on the second round when he added allowing for the juvenile court judges, temporary judges to be appointed. And, as I recall, there are a number of you that decided to vote against the bill once the third area was added and then there were some of you that voted against it from the beginning. So it is one of those bills that has been picking up no votes as it has gone along. I have some problems with the bill, we've talked about it a lot. My problem was with the appointment of the juvenile court judge...judge to the juvenile court judge system, a county judge. It is one of those issues that I think it is philosophical whether you agree or don't agree on it. And I'm not going to spend a lot of time discussing that particular issue. But one issue I did want to touch upon and that is I think probably the best word is the misalignment of some of the caseloads of the county court judges in Douglas County. Now, I handed out some information that showed going back. This is a sheet that goes from 1981 through 1985. What this sheet details, it was done last week up in Douglas County, it is a combination of the municipal courts caseload, as well as the county court caseload. So it is a combination of the two. It shows that there has been a substantial increase in the amount of caseload for both of these courts, which is now merged into one court, county court system in Douglas County. As a matter of fact, there has been a 42 percent increase in the caseload of those particular judges. It has increased in traffic, in criminal, in felony cases, as well as civil cases, and it adds up to a total increase of